

From: [Nina Perales](#)
To: [Ryan Kercher](#); [Kathleen Hunker](#); [Leah Tulin](#)
Subject: LUPE v. Texas (SB1) - confer on motion
Date: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 5:16:10 PM

Dear Ryan and Kathleen,

I write to resolve a potential disagreement regarding the scope of evidence in the record or, in the alternative, confer on a re-urged motion to strike the Jonathan White Declaration and new motion to strike portions of State Defendants and Intervenor Defendants' Amended Post-trial Proposed FOF (Dkt. 861).

On pages 247-248 of State Defendants' proposed FOFs, State Defendants cite and rely on portions of "Jonathan White Decl." See Dkt. 861 at ¶¶ 806-808 and 811-812. The cited declaration appears to be the declaration filed by State Defendants at Dkts. 645-5 and 646-3. If that is correct, the testimony cited in these proposed FOFs was the subject of LUPE Plaintiffs' Opposed Motion to Strike and Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony (Dkt. 761).

The Court denied LUPE Plaintiffs' motion to strike as moot after State Defendants represented to the Court on September 20, 2023 that "the declaration is moot" because "[w]e do not plan to admit that declaration into evidence in this trial." Tr. at 1439-1440. Following oral argument, the Court stated "So here is the ruling with regard to the unopposed [sic] motion to strike the declaration of Mr. White. It's the contention of all sides, correct me if I'm wrong, that this is now moot, because the defendant's side does not intend to rely on the declaration of Mr. White at all, so that's now moot. With regard to the remaining parts of the motion, I consider this a motion in limine, and so Mr. White -- Messrs. White and Ingram, will be restricted to trial testimony as to any documents that were previously provided to the other side and any public information that was provided to the other side." Tr. 1459-1460. State Defendants did not correct the Court on its understanding.

The Court subsequently entered a text order as follows: "Text Order MOOTING [761] LUPE Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike and GRANTING [761] LUPE Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine entered by Judge Xavier Rodriguez. LUPE Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike the Declaration of Jonathan White is MOOT in light of the State Defendants' representation in open court that they do not intend to rely on the declaration at trial."

In light of these statements and rulings, I write to request that you amend Dkt. 861 to withdraw ¶¶ 806-808 and 811-812. If, in the alternative, you plan to rely on the Jonathan White Declaration as part of the record in this case, please advise whether you oppose a re-urged motion to strike the Jonathan White Declaration and new motion to strike portions of State Defendants and Intervenor Defendants' Amended Post-trial Proposed FOF (Dkt. 861).

Thank you very much,

Nina Perales
Vice President of Litigation
Mexican American Legal Defense

and Educational Fund, Inc. (MALDEF)
110 Broadway Suite 300
San Antonio TX 78205
ph (210) 845-5147